

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,761	01/26/2004	Michael Alan Siecke	44150/182	8779	
1912	7590 09/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER .	
AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP			BUI, LUAN KIM		
90 PARK AV NEW YORK			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3728		
		DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· *
SA &
t(s)
MICHAEL ALAN
ence address
IRTY (30) DAYS,
te of this communication.
§ 133). any
as to the merits is
3.
.85(a).
ee 37 CFR 1.121(d).
form PTO-152.

		γγ.	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/764,761	SIECKE, MICHAEL ALAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	Luan K. Bui	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH oute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	August 2006.		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	rance except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-10 and 12-25</u> is/are pending i	n the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	• •		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22 and 23</u> is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-10,12-21,24,25</u> is/are rejected	d.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	l).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	• •	<del></del>	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	ceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure		:	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not re	ceivea.	
Attachment(s)	🗂		
)	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/I	nmary (PTO-413) Nail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		rmal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 3728

After further reconsideration of the Office Action mailed on 8/2/2006, the Examiner is hereby withdrawn that Official Action in favor of the instant Office Action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 15 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrases "said second face" in claims 15 and 19-21 and "The blank" in claim 21 lack antecedent basis.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cetrelli (4,559,259) in view of Weber (2,634,046) and Kosterka (3,556,391). Cetrelli discloses a blank comprising an unfolded sheet of paper (Figure 1) defining a first face and a second face opposite the first face. The first face is flat and coated with a thermoplastic material (column 3, lines 54-57), the second face is unprinted and having at least one pair of adjacent parallel grooves (6). The blank is further comprising a foldline (8) extending along a horizontal

Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

midline (rotate the blank of Figure 1 in 90 degree) thereof transverse to the at least one pair of parallel grooves. Cetrelli also discloses the other limitations of the claims except for the first face being printed.

Weber teaches a blank comprising an unfolded sheet of cardboard/paperboard material defining a first face and a second face opposite the first face, the first face being flat, coated (a) and printed (column 1, line 11) and the second face being unprinted and defining at least one pair of adjacent parallel grooves (3, 5). Kosterka shows a blank (11) comprising an unfolded sheet (Figure 1) defining a first face and a second face with at least one of the faces is printed (column 2, lines 53-56) and a foldline (26) extending along a horizontal midline.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Weber and Kosterka to modify the blank of Cetrelli so the first face is also printed to provide more convenience for the user and because such printed information on the blank is old and conventional in the art. With respect to the material of the blank of Cetrelli, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the blank of Cetrelli so it formed from paperboard in lieu of paper as taught by Weber since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

As to claims 1 and 24, see the blank of Cetrelli as modified above.

As to claim 25, the blank as shown in Figure 1 of Cetrelli as modified is considered equivalent to a paperboard component as claimed since the component as recited in the claim 25 is considered as one part of the blank that formed the package and a folded line (7) is considered equivalent to

Application/Control Number: 10/764,761 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

the folded line as claimed to form a concealed inner face that defined at least one groove (Figure 3).

- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kuchenbecker (4,740,163). Cetrelli further fails to show the grooves being formed by laser. Kuchenbecker teaches a paperboard blank for forming a package comprising channels/grooves (25, 26) formed by laser. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Kuchenbecker to modify the grooves of Cetrelli so the grooves are formed by laser for better removing the material from the blank.
- 6. Claims 4 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Voss (5,427,309). Cetrelli further fails to show the second face being defined at least two spaced apart pairs of parallel grooves. Voss shows a blank (10) having at least two spaced apart pairs of parallel grooves (37-42) (Figure 8). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Voss to modify the blank of Cetrelli as modified so the second face comprises at least two spaced apart pairs of parallel grooves to facilitate folding.
- 7. Claims 12, 13, 15-17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cetrelli (4,559,259) in view of Weber (2,634,046) and Matsuoka (6,761,307). Cetrelli discloses a package comprising a paper component (Figure 1) formed of blank folded along a horizontal midline (7) to define a double thickness with an exposed outer face thereof is

Art Unit: 3728

coated, a concealed inner face thereof is unprinted and the concealed inner face defining at least one groove (6, Figure 3). Cetrelli also discloses the other claimed limitations except for the outer face being printed and the concealed inner face comprises at least one pair of adjacent parallel grooves.

Weber teaches a blank for forming a package comprising an unfolded sheet of cardboard/paperboard material defining an outer face and an inner face opposite the outer face. the outer face being flat, coated (a) and printed (column 1, line 11). Matsuoka teaches a package comprising a component (4b, 9a, Figures 1 & 3) formed of a blank folded along a fold line to form a concealed inner face defining at least one pair of adjacent parallel grooves. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Weber and Matsuoka to modify the package of Cetrelli so the outer face is also printed as taught by Weber to provide more convenience for the user and because such printed information on the blank is old and conventional in the art and the concealed inner face comprises at least one pair of adjacent parallel grooves as taught by Matsuoka to facilitate folding the blank of Cetrelli to form the package. With respect to the material of the blank of Cetrelli, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the blank of Cetrelli so it formed from paperboard in lieu of paper as taught by Weber since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPO 416.

As to claims 15 and 19, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of the package of Cetrelli as modified so the concealed inner face comprises at least two or

Application/Control Number: 10/764,761 Page 6

Art Unit: 3728

three spaced apart pair of parallel grooves since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

- 8. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Kuchenbecker (4,740,163). Cetrelli further fails to show the grooves being formed by laser. Kuchenbecker teaches a paperboard blank for forming a package comprising channels/grooves (25, 26) formed by laser. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Kuchenbecker to modify the grooves of Cetrelli so the grooves are formed by laser for better removing the material from the blank.
- 9. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of The Official Notice. Cetrelli further fails to show the outer face comprises at least one plastic component. The Official Notice is taken of the old and conventional practice of providing a package comprising a plastic envelope/plastic component attached to an outer face of the package for holding information for mailing the package and such package is conventional used by the U.S. Postal Service. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of The Official Notice to modify the package of Cetrelli as modified so the outer face of the package includes a plastic envelope/plastic component for holding information. The plastic envelope/plastic component of Cetrelli as modified is capable to receive an recording medium.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,761

Art Unit: 3728

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to 8/2/2006 have been considered but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4552. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lkb

September 14, 2006

Luan K. Bui Primary Examiner

Page 7

Art Unit 3728